SWEETGRASS METROPOLITAN DISTRICT NO. 2 Dacono, Colorado

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS December 31, 2012

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	PAGE
INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT	I
MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS	III
BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS	
Government-wide Financial Statements Statement of Net Position	
Fund Financial Statements Balance Sheet - Governmental Fund Reconciliation of the Balance Sheet of Governmental Fund	3
to the Statement of Net Position	
Reconciliation of the Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance of Governmental Fund to the Statement of Activities	
Notes to Financial Statements	
REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION	18
Schedule of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance - Budget and Actual - General Fund	19
SECONDARY MARKET INFORMATION	20
2012 Assessed Valuation of Classes of Property in the District	21
Ten Largest Taxpayers in the District Overlapping Mill Levies by Taxing Entities	
History of District's Mill Levy	24
Summary of Assessed Valuation, Mill Levy and Property Taxes Collected	25





Independent Auditors' Report

Board of Directors Sweetgrass Metropolitan District No. 2 Dacono, Colorado

Report on the Financial Statements

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the governmental activities and the major fund of Sweetgrass Metropolitan District No. 2, as of and for the year ended December 31, 2012, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the entity's basic financial statements as listed in the table of contents.

Management's Responsibility for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; this includes the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

Auditors' Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express opinions on these financial statements based on our audit. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free from material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditors' judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the entity's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinions.

Opinions

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the respective financial position of the governmental activities and the major fund of Sweetgrass Metropolitan District No. 2 as of December 31, 2012, and the respective changes in financial position thereof for the year then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Other Matters

Required Supplementary Information

Accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America require that the management's discussion and analysis and budgetary comparison information on pages III-VII and 19 be presented to supplement the basic financial statements. Such information, although not a part of the basic financial statements, is required by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board who considers it to be an essential part of financial reporting for placing the basic financial statements in an appropriate operational, economic, or historical context. We have applied certain limited procedures to the required supplementary information in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, which consisted of inquiries of management about the methods of preparing the information and comparing the information for consistency with management's responses to our inquiries, the basic financial statements, and other knowledge we obtained during our audit of the basic financial statements. We do not express an opinion or provide any assurance on the information because the limited procedures do not provide us with sufficient evidence to express an opinion or provide any assurance.

Other Information

The secondary market financial information has not been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements, and accordingly, we do not express an opinion or provide any assurance on it.

CliftonLarsonAllen LLP

Greenwood Village, Colorado

Clifton Larson Allen LLP

February 25, 2013

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

The discussion and analysis is designed to provide an analysis of the District's financial condition and operating results and to inform the reader on the District's financial issues and activities.

The Management's Discussion and Analysis (MD & A) should be read in conjunction with the District's financial statements.

Financial Highlights

- The net position of the District increased by \$6,636 in 2012 to \$(2,513,100). An overall net deficit is typical in a metropolitan district, which transfers its capital assets to the controlling government entity (city, town, etc.) after construction is complete but retains the related debt in the district until it is paid off.
- Budgeted revenue exceeded actual revenue by \$1,334, and budgeted expenditures were greater than actual expenditures by \$3,680.
- The District has only one bond issue outstanding, the Series 2010 Limited Tax General Obligation Bond, which is scheduled to be fully retired in 2040.

Overview of the Financial Statements

Management's discussion and analysis is intended to serve as an introduction to the District's basic financial statements. The basic financial statements, presented on pages 1-17 are comprised of three components: 1) Government-wide financial statements, 2) Fund financial statements, and 3) Notes to the basic financial statements. This report also contains other required supplementary information in addition to the basic financial statements themselves.

Government-wide Financial Statements

The government-wide financial statements are designed to provide the reader of the District's basic financial statements a broad overview of the financial activities in a manner similar to a private sector business. The government-wide financial statements include the statement of net position and the statement of activities.

The statement of net position presents information about all of the District's assets and liabilities. The difference between assets and liabilities is reported as net position. Over time, changes in net position may serve as a useful indicator of whether the financial position of the District is improving or deteriorating.

The statement of activities presents information showing how the net position of the District changed during the current fiscal year. Changes in net position are recorded in the statement of activities when the underlying event occurs, regardless of the timing of related cash flows. Thus, revenues and expenses are reported in this statement even though the resulting cash flow may be recorded in a future period.

The government-wide financial statements consolidate governmental activities that are supported from taxes and intergovernmental revenues. Governmental activities consolidate governmental funds including the general fund. The government-wide financial statements can be found on pages 1-2.

Fund Financial Statements

Fund financial statements are designed to demonstrate compliance with finance-related legal requirements. A fund is a grouping of related accounts that is used to maintain control over resources that have been segregated for special objectives. The District uses governmental funds to account for its activity.

Governmental funds account for essentially the same information reported in the governmental activities of the government-wide financial statements. However, unlike the government-wide statements, the governmental fund financial statements focus on near-term financial resources and fund balances. Such information may be useful in evaluating financing requirements in the near term.

Since the governmental funds and the governmental activities report information using the same functions, it is useful to compare the information presented. Because the focus of each report differs, reconciliations are provided on the fund financial statements to assist the reader in comparing the near-term requirements with the long-term needs.

All of the District's activities are reported in a single governmental fund, the general fund, which focuses on how money flows into and out of that fund and the balance left at year-end available for spending in future periods.

The District adopts an annual budget for the governmental fund. A budgetary comparison schedule for the general fund is included in the required supplementary information.

The basic governmental fund financial statements can be found on pages 3-6 of this report.

Notes to the Basic Financial Statements

The notes to the basic financial statements provide additional information that is essential to a full understanding of the data provided in the basic financial statements. The notes can be found on pages 7-17 of this report.

Other Information

In addition to the basic financial statements and accompanying notes, this report also contains required supplementary information concerning the District's budgetary comparisons, and other secondary market information (unaudited). Required supplementary information can be found on page 19 of this report, and secondary market information can be found on pages 21-25 of this report.

Government-wide Financial Analysis

Government-wide Net Position

The assets of the District are classified as current assets and capital assets. Cash and investments, and receivables are current assets. These assets are available to provide resources for the near-term operations of the District. The majority of the current assets are property taxes receivable.

Current and noncurrent liabilities are classified based on anticipated liquidation either in the near-term or in the future. Current liabilities include accounts payable, accrued interest and deferred revenue. The liquidation of current liabilities is anticipated to be either from current available resources, current assets or new resources that become available during fiscal year 2013.

Deferred property tax revenue increased by \$114,065 which is attributable to an increase in assessed valuation within the District.

The liabilities of the primary government activities exceed assets by \$2,513,100, with a deficit in unrestricted net position of \$2,666,394.

Government-wide Total Assets as compared to Total Liabilities and Total Net Position:

	Net Position			
		2012	<u>2011</u>	
Assets:				
Current	\$	502,296	\$	379,126
Bond issue costs, net of accumulated depreciation		68,954		71,424
Total assets		571,250		450,550
Liabilities:				
Current		334,350		220,286
Noncurrent		2,750,000		2,750,000
Total liabilities		3,084,350		2,970,286
Net position:				
Restricted		153,294		166,563
Unrestricted (deficit)	(2,666,394)		(2,686,299)
Total net position	\$ (2,513,100)	\$	(2,519,736)

Government-wide Activities

Government activities decreased the deficit of the net position of the District by \$6,636. The details of this increase are shown in the following schedule:

The District's Changes in Net Position

	Governmental Activities			
	<u>2012</u>			<u>2011</u>
Revenues:				
General revenue:				
Property taxes	\$	206,535	\$	192,209
Specific ownership taxes		15,361		13,800
Intergovernmental revenue		13,132		15,493
Investment income		398		136
Total revenue	_	235,426		221,638
Expenses:				
Governmental activities:				
General government		228,790		222,532
Total expense	_	228,790		222,532
Total change in net position		6,636		(894)
Net position - Beginning of year		(2,519,736)	_	(2,518,842)
Net position - End of year	\$	(2,513,100)	\$	(2,519,736)

Key elements of the increase in net position for governmental activities are as follows:

- Property tax revenue increased \$14,326 due to the increase in the assessed valuation of the District, principally in oil and gas production.
- Intergovernmental revenue decreased \$2,361 due to the District receiving less funds from Sweetgrass Metropolitan District No. 1 during 2012.

Business-type activities are comprised of services that would be provided on a charge for goods or services basis to recover all of the expenses of the goods or services provided. The District does not have any business-type activities.

Financial Analysis of the District's Governmental Funds

As noted earlier, the District uses fund accounting to ensure and demonstrate compliance with finance-related legal requirements.

All of the District's activities are reported in governmental funds, which focus on how money flows into and out of those funds and the balances left at year-end available for spending in future periods. These funds are reported using an accounting method called modified accrual accounting, which measures cash and all other financial assets that can readily be converted to cash. The governmental fund statements provide a detailed short-term view of the District's general government operations and the basic services it provides. Governmental fund information helps determine where there are more or fewer financial resources that can be spent in the near future. Such information is useful in assessing the District's financing requirements. In particular, unassigned fund balance may serve as a useful measure of a government's net resources available for spending at the end of the fiscal year.

As of the end of the current fiscal year, the District's one governmental fund, the general fund, reported an ending fund balance of \$181,696.

The general fund is the chief operating fund of the District. As a measure of the general fund's liquidity, it may be useful to compare both unassigned fund balance and total fund balance to total fund expenditures. Actual expenditures of the general fund amounted to \$226,320. Total general fund balance represents 80.28% of expenditures.

General Fund Budgetary Highlights

The fund balance for the general fund increased by \$9,106 resulting in an ending fund balance of \$181,696. Actual revenue exceeded budgeted revenue by \$1,334. The excess revenue is attributed to a positive difference in transfers from District No. 1 of \$1,032 as well as a positive difference in specific ownership tax of \$904, offset by a negative difference in investment income of \$602. Budgeted expenditures exceed actual expenditures by \$3,680, principally due to less than expected miscellaneous items.

Economic Factors and Next Year's Budgets and Rates

The assessed valuation of property in the District increased by 7.45% in 2012, due to a
rise in both residential and oil and gas valuation. It is anticipated that the mill levy will
remain at 50 mills for the foreseeable future.

Requests for Information

This financial report is designed to provide a general overview of the District's finances for all those with an interest in the District. Questions concerning any of the information provided in this report or requests for additional information shall be addressed to:

Sweetgrass Metropolitan District No. 2 Mr. Lewis Holtsclaw 2500 Arapahoe, Suite 220 Boulder, Colorado 80302



SWEETGRASS METROPOLITAN DISTRICT NO. 2 STATEMENT OF NET POSITION December 31, 2012

	Governmental Activities	
ASSETS		
Cash and investments	\$	15,112
Restricted cash and investments		165,332
Property taxes receivable		320,600
Due from other governments		1,252
Bond issue costs (net of accumulated		
amortization)		68,954
TOTAL ASSETS		571,250
LIABILITIES		
Deferred property tax revenue		320,600
Due within the year:		
Accrued interest		13,750
Due in more than one year:		
2010 Limited Tax General Obligation Bonds		2,750,000
TOTAL LIABILITIES		3,084,350
NET POSITION		
Restricted for emergencies		1,712
Restricted for debt service		151,582
Unrestricted (deficit)		(2,666,394)
TOTAL NET POSITION	<u>\$</u>	(2,513,100)

SWEETGRASS METROPOLITAN DISTRICT NO. 2 STATEMENT OF ACTIVITIES Year Ended December 31, 2012

<u>E</u>)	penses	Program Revenues Capital Grants and Contributions		Re Cl Ne Gov	(Expense) venue and nanges in t Position vernmental
\$	61,324	\$	-	\$	(61,324)
	167,466		-		(167,466)
\$	228,790	\$	-		(228,790)
Gener	al revenues	:			
Prop	erty taxes				206,535
Spe	cific ownersh	ip taxes			15,361
Inter	governmenta	al revenue			13,132
Net i	nvestment ir	ncome			398
Т	otal general	revenues			235,426
Chang	je in net pos	sition			6,636
-	_		ear		(2,519,736) (2,513,100)
	\$ Gener Prop Spec Inter Net i T	167,466 \$ 228,790 General revenues Property taxes Specific ownersh Intergovernmenta Net investment in Total general Change in net pos	\$ 61,324 \$ Contribute \$ 61,324 \$ 167,466 \$ 228,790 \$ General revenues: Property taxes Specific ownership taxes Intergovernmental revenue Net investment income Total general revenues Change in net position	Revenues Capital Grants and Contributions \$ 61,324 \$ - 167,466 - \$ 228,790 \$ - General revenues: Property taxes Specific ownership taxes Intergovernmental revenue Net investment income Total general revenues Change in net position Net position - Beginning of year	Program Revenues Clapital Grants and Governmental From the composition Contributions Property taxes Specific ownership taxes Intergovernmental revenue Net investment income Total general revenues Change in net position Net position - Beginning of year Property Prop

SWEETGRASS METROPOLITAN DISTRICT NO. 2 BALANCE SHEET GOVERNMENTAL FUND December 31, 2012

	General Fund	
ASSETS	•	
Cash and investments	\$ 15,112	
Restricted cash and investments	165,332	
Due from other governments	1,252	
Property taxes receivable	320,600	
Total assets	\$ 502,296	
LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCE		
LIABILITIES		
Deferred property tax revenue	\$ 320,600	
Total liabilities	320,600	
FUND BALANCE		
Unassigned	14,652	
Restricted for TABOR	1,712	
Restricted for debt service	165,332	
Total fund balance	181,696	
TOTAL LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCE	\$ 502,296	
IOTAL LIABILITIES AND I SIND DALANOL	Ψ 302,230	

SWEETGRASS METROPOLITAN DISTRICT NO. 2 RECONCILIATION OF THE BALANCE SHEET OF GOVERNMENTAL FUND TO THE STATEMENT OF NET POSITION December 31, 2012

Amounts reported for governmental activities in the statement of net position are different because:

Total fund balance - Total governmental fund	<u>\$</u>	181,696
Accrued interest payable is recognized for governmental activities, but is not due and payable in the current period and, therefore, is not reported as a liability in the governmental fund.	_	(13,750)
Government fund reports bond issue costs as expenditures. However, in the statement of activities these costs are capitalized and expensed over time as amortization expense.		
Bond issuance costs		74,100
Accumulated amortization	_	(5,146) 68,954
Some liabilities, including bonds and notes payable, are not due in the current period and, therefore, are not reported in the fund balance sheet.		
2010 General Obligation Bonds	_	(2,750,000)
Net position of governmental activities	\$	(2,513,100)

SWEETGRASS METROPOLITAN DISTRICT NO. 2 STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE

GOVERNMENTAL FUND

Year Ended December 31, 2012

	General Fund	
REVENUES	•	000 505
Property taxes	\$	206,535
Specific ownership taxes Transfer from District No. 1		15,361
Net investment income		13,132 398
Total revenues		235,426
EXPENDITURES		
Current		
Transfer to District No. 1		45,100
County Treasurer's fees		3,092
Insurance		2,352
Audit fees		9,400
Trustee fees		1,300
Miscellaneous		80
Debt service		
Interest and fiscal charges		164,996
Total expenditures		226,320
SURPLUS OF REVENUE OVER EXPENDITURES		9,106
FUND BALANCE - BEGINNING OF YEAR		172,590
FUND BALANCE - END OF YEAR	\$	181,696

SWEETGRASS METROPOLITAN DISTRICT NO. 2 RECONCILIATION OF THE STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE OF GOVERNMENTAL FUND TO THE STATEMENT OF ACTIVITIES Year Ended December 31, 2012

Amounts reported for governmental activities in the statement of activities are different because:

Net change in fund balance - Total governmental fund	\$ 9,106
The repayment of the principal on long term debt consumes the current	
financial resources of the governmental fund. This transaction, however,	
does not have any effect on net position. Also, the governmental fund	
reports the effect of issuance costs when debt is first issued as	
expenditures, whereas these costs are deferred and amortized in	
the statement of activities.	
Amortization of bond issuance costs	 (2,470)
Change in net position of governmental activities	\$ 6,636

NOTE 1 - DEFINITION OF REPORTING ENTITY

Sweetgrass Metropolitan District No. 2 (the District) is a quasi-municipal corporation located within the city limits of Dacono, Colorado (Dacono) created by election in November 2001. The District and Dacono have entered into an Intergovernmental Agreement as required by the Dacono Code which implemented the District Service Plan and limited certain District statutory powers. The District is governed pursuant to provisions of the Colorado Special District Act to finance construction, operation and maintenance of the facilities located within the Sweetgrass Metropolitan Districts No. 1, No. 2, and No. 3.

Sweetgrass Metropolitan District No. 1 (District No. 1) was organized concurrently with Sweetgrass Metropolitan District No. 2 and Sweetgrass Metropolitan District No. 3 (District No. 3). District No. 1 has the power to provide water, sanitation, storm drainage, streets, traffic and safety controls, and park and recreation improvements and other related improvements for the benefit of taxpayers and service users within the Districts' boundaries. The Service Plan requires District No. 1 to convey the constructed improvements to the City of Dacono for ownership and maintenance.

Sweetgrass Metropolitan District No. 1 is intended to serve as the "operating district" while Sweetgrass Metropolitan Districts No. 2 and No. 3 are intended to serve as the "financing districts". The operating district is responsible for providing the day to day operations and administrative management of all three of the Districts. The operating district is economically dependent on developer advances and in future years will be economically dependent upon intergovernmental revenue received from the financing districts.

The District has no employees and all services are contracted.

The District follows the Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) accounting pronouncements which provide guidance for determining which governmental activities, organizations and functions should be included within the financial reporting entity. GASB pronouncements set forth the financial accountability of a governmental organization's elected governing body as the basic criterion for including a possible component governmental organization in a primary government's legal entity. Financial accountability includes, but is not limited to, appointment of a voting majority of the organization's governing body, ability to impose its will on the organization, a potential for the organization to provide specific financial benefits or burdens and fiscal dependency.

The District is not financially accountable for any other organization, nor is the District a component unit of any other primary governmental entity, including District No. 1, District No. 3, and Dacono.

NOTE 2 - SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

The more significant accounting policies of the District are described as follows:

Government-wide and Fund Financial Statements

The government-wide financial statements include the statement of net position and the statement of activities. These financial statements include all of the activities of the District. Governmental activities are normally supported by taxes.

The statement of net position reports all financial resources of the District. The difference between the assets and liabilities of the District is reported as a net deficit.

The District is responsible for the repayment of bonds issued for the purpose of constructing infrastructure improvements which will be conveyed to Dacono. The funds generated through the issuance of the bonds have been transferred to District No. 1 for that purpose. Consequently, a deficit balance is reflected on the District's Statement of Net Position.

The statement of activities demonstrates the degree to which the direct and indirect expenses of a given function or segment are offset by program revenues. Direct expenses are those that are clearly identifiable with a specific function or segment. Program revenues include charges to customers or applicants who purchase, use, or directly benefit from goods, services or privileges provided by a given function or segment. Taxes and other items not properly included among program revenues are reported instead as general revenues.

Measurement Focus, Basis of Accounting, and Financial Statement Presentation

The government-wide financial statements are reported using the economic resources measurement focus and the accrual basis of accounting. Revenues are recorded when earned and expenses are recorded when a liability is incurred, regardless of the timing of related cash flows.

Governmental fund financial statements are reported using the current financial resources measurement focus and the modified accrual basis of accounting. Revenues are recognized as soon as they are both measurable and available. Revenues are considered to be available when they are collectible within the current period or soon enough thereafter to pay liabilities of the current period. For this purpose, the government considers revenues to be available if they are collected within 60 days of the end of the current fiscal period. The major sources of revenue susceptible to accrual are property taxes. Expenditures generally are recorded when a liability is incurred as under accrual accounting. However, debt service expenditures are recorded only when payment is due.

The District reports the following major governmental fund:

The General Fund is the District's primary operating fund. It accounts for all financial resources of the general government.

NOTE 2 - SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (CONTINUED)

Budgets

In accordance with the State Budget Law, the District's Board of Directors holds public hearings in the fall each year to approve the budget and appropriate the funds for the ensuing year. The appropriation is at the total fund expenditures level and lapses at year end. The District's Board of Directors can modify the budget by line item within the total appropriation without notification. The appropriation can only be modified upon completion of notification and publication requirements. The budget includes each fund on its basis of accounting unless otherwise indicated.

Property Taxes

Property taxes are levied by the District's Board of Directors. The levy is based on assessed valuations determined by the County Assessor generally as of January 1 of each year. The levy is normally set by December 15 by certification to the County Commissioners to put the tax lien on the individual properties as of January 1 of the following year. The County Treasurer collects the determined taxes during the ensuing calendar year. The taxes are payable by April or if in equal installments, at the taxpayer's election, in February and June. Delinquent taxpayers are notified in August and generally sales of the tax liens on delinquent properties are held in November or December. The County Treasurer remits the taxes collected monthly to the District.

Property taxes are recorded initially as deferred revenue in the year they are levied and measurable. The deferred property tax revenue are recorded as revenue in the year they are available or collected.

Amortization - Bond Issue Costs

In the government-wide financial statements, bond issuance costs are reported as deferred charges and amortized using the straight-line method, which approximates the effective interest method, over the term of the related debt.

Fund Balance

As of December 31, 2012, fund balances of governmental funds are classified as follows:

Non-spendable – amounts that cannot be spent either because they are not spendable in form or because they are legally or contractually required to be maintained intact.

Restricted – amounts that are subject to externally enforceable legal purpose restrictions imposed by creditors, grantors, contributors, or laws and regulations of other governments; or through constitutional provisions or enabling legislation.

Committed – amounts that are subject to a purpose constraint imposed by a formal action of the Board of Directors. The Board is the highest level of decision-making authority for the District. Commitments may be established, modified or rescinded only through resolutions approved by the Board.

NOTE 2 - SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (CONTINUED)

Assigned – amounts that are subject to a purpose constraint that represents an intended use established by the District in its budget process. The purpose of the assignment must be narrower than the purpose of the General Fund.

Unassigned – represents the residual classification for the District's General Fund and could report a surplus or deficit.

Restricted Fund Balance

Emergency Reserves have been provided for as required by Article X, Section 20 of the Constitution of the State of Colorado. \$1,712 of the General Fund balance has been reserved in compliance with this requirement.

The District also has \$165,332 of restricted fund balance related requirements of the bond issuance. See Note 4 for more details.

The District's order of fund balance spending policy is to apply expenditures against non-spendable fund balance, restricted fund balance, committed fund balance, assigned fund balance, and unassigned fund balance. The District reserves the right to selectively spend unassigned fund balance.

GASB No. 63 provides financial reporting guidance for unearned outflows of resources and unearned inflows of resources as introduced and defined in GASB Concepts Statement No. 4, "Elements of Financial Statements" (Concepts Statement No. 4). Concepts Statement No. 4 defines an unearned outflow of resources as consumption of net assets by the government that is applicable to a future reporting period. An unearned inflow of resources is defined as an acquisition of net assets applicable to a future reporting period. The District does not have any financial statement balances that under current GAAP meet the definition of an unearned outflow of resources or an unearned inflow of resources. As such, the names of certain statements and certain line items were changed as required by GASB No. 63, otherwise, the adoption of this pronouncement had no impact to the District's financial statements.

NOTE 3 - CASH AND INVESTMENTS

Cash and investments as of December 31, 2012 consist of the following:

Restricted	\$ 165,332
Unrestricted	15,112
	\$ 180,444

Cash and investments as of December 31, 2012 consist of the following:

Investments <u>\$ 180,444</u>

NOTE 3 - CASH AND INVESTMENTS (CONTINUED)

Investments

The District has not adopted a formal investment policy; however, it follows State statutes regarding investments. The District generally limits its concentration of investments to those noted with an asterisk (*) below, which are believed to have minimal credit risk, minimal interest rate risk and no foreign currency risk. Additionally, the District is not subject to concentration risk disclosure requirements or subject to investment custodial credit risk for investments that are in the possession of another party.

Colorado revised statutes limit investment maturities to five years or less depending on the specific investment held unless formally approved by the Board of Directors. Such actions are generally associated with a debt service reserve or sinking fund requirements. Revenue bonds of U.S. local government securities, corporate and bank securities and guaranteed investment contracts not purchased with bond proceeds are limited to maturities of three years or less.

Colorado statutes specify investment instruments meeting defined rating and risk criteria in which local governments may invest which include:

- . Obligations of the United States, certain U.S. government agency securities and World Bank securities
- . General obligation and revenue bonds of U.S. local government entities
- . Bankers' acceptances of certain banks
- . Commercial paper
- . Written repurchase agreements collateralized by certain authorized securities
- . Certain reverse repurchase agreements
- . Certain money market mutual funds
- . Guaranteed investment contracts
- * Local government investment pools
- . Certain corporate bonds
- . Certain securities lending agreements

As of December 31, 2012, the District had the following investments:

Investment	Maturity	Fair Value
Colorado Surplus Asset Fund Trust ColoTrust Plus Fund	Less than one year Less than one year	\$ 15,112 <u>165,332</u> <u>\$ 180,444</u>

Colorado Surplus Asset Trust Fund

As of December 31, 2012, the District had invested \$15,112 in the Colorado Surplus Asset Fund Trust. The fund invests in commercial paper, collateralized bank deposits, U.S. Treasury securities, federal instrumentality securities, agency securities, repurchase agreements and money market funds. The Trust operates similarly to a money market fund and each share is equal in value to \$1.00. The Trust is rated AAAm by Standard & Poor's.

NOTE 3 - CASH AND INVESTMENTS (CONTINUED)

ColoTrust Plus Fund

As of December 31, 2012, the District had invested \$165,332 in the ColoTrust Plus Fund. The fund invests in commercial paper, collateralized bank deposits, U.S. Treasury securities, federal instrumentality securities, agency securities and repurchase agreements. The Fund operates similarly to a money market fund and each share is equal in value to \$1.00. The Trust is rated AAAm by Standard & Poor's.

NOTE 4 - LONG-TERM OBLIGATIONS

	Balance at			Balance at	Due
	January 1,			December 31,	Within
	2012	Additions	Reductions	2012	One Year
Limited Tax General Obligation					
Bonds, Series 2010	\$ 2,750,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 2,750,000	\$ -

Limited Tax General Obligation Bonds - On November, 18, 2010, the District issued \$2,750,000 of Limited Tax General Obligation Bonds. The bonds bear interest at the rates set forth below, during the specified time periods, and such interest is payable semi-annually on June 1 and December 1 commencing June 1, 2011. The District incurred \$164,996 in interest expense for the year ended December 31, 2011 for these bonds.

Date of issuance through November 30, 2015	6.00%
December 1, 2015 through November 30, 2016	7.00%
December 1, 2016 through November 30, 2040	8.00%

The bonds are subject to optional and mandatory sinking fund redemptions prior to maturity at the prices and upon the terms set forth below:

Optional Redemption. The bonds are subject to redemption prior to maturity, at the option of the District, as a whole or in integral multiples of \$1,000, in any order of maturity and in whole or partial maturities, on December 1, 2015, and on any date thereafter, upon payment of the principal amount so redeemed plus accrued interest to the date of redemption, without redemption premium.

Mandatory Sinking Fund Redemption. The Bonds are also subject to mandatory sinking fund redemption, in part by lot on December 1, 2021, and on each December 1 thereafter prior to the maturity date of the bonds, upon payment of par and accrued interest, with redemption premium, in the annual amounts listed in the maturity schedule presented below.

NOTE 4 - LONG-TERM OBLIGATIONS (CONTINUED)

Pledged Revenue consists property tax revenue, specific ownership tax revenue, and any other legally available moneys that the District determines to credit to the bond. Property Tax Revenues and Specific Ownership Tax Revenues are derived from imposition of the Debt Service Mill Levy by the District which may not exceed 40 mills. The bonds are also secured by amounts on deposit in the Reserve Fund. As of December 31, 2012 the District had \$165,000 in the Reserve Fund, as required.

The District's Limited Tax General Obligation Bond will mature as follows:

	Principal		Interest		Total
2013	\$	-	\$ 165,000	\$	165,000
2014		-	165,000		165,000
2015		-	165,000		165,000
2016		-	192,500		192,500
2017		-	220,000		220,000
2018-2022		125,000	1,095,200		1,220,200
2023-2027		410,000	989,600		1,399,600
2028-2032		605,000	796,400		1,401,400
2033-2037		885,000	513,200		1,398,200
2038-2040		725,000	 118,800		843,800
	\$	2,750,000	\$ 4,420,700	\$	7,170,700

Debt Authorization

The District voters approved \$165,000,000 of revenue obligation debt in 2005 at an interest rate not to exceed 18% per annum. At December 31, 2012, the District's management believes the District is in compliance with its authorized debt limitations. In the future, the District may issue a portion of all of the remaining authorized but unissued general obligation debt for purposes of providing public improvements to support development as it occurs within the District's service area. The District's current service plan limits its combined debt obligations to \$14,600,000.

The bonds are subject to the exercise of a Put Option by any Owner on December 1, 2015 and on any December 1 thereafter by the submission to the District of a written Notice of Intent to Exercise the Put Option, not later than ninety (90) days prior to December 1, 2015 or December 1 of any year thereafter. The District has covenanted to use its best efforts to provide funding of the Put Option, if exercised, but the availability of such funding cannot be guaranteed. The District has also covenanted to use its best efforts to assist the Owner or Owners who have provided notice of their desire to exercise the Put Option with finding a qualified purchaser of the bonds. If funded, the Put Option price for the bonds will be the principal amount of the bonds subject to the Put Option plus accrued interest to the date the Put Option is paid.

NOTE 5 - RELATED PARTY

Two members of the Board of Directors are employees or are associated with Bellock Construction Company, developer and construction manager for the District and Dacono Properties, LLC, the developer within the District and Sweetgrass Investors, LLC - holders of some of the District's outstanding bond anticipation notes. During 2012, Districts No. 1, No. 2, and No. 3 had the same Board of Directors (see Note 6).

Accounting Services Agreement

An accounting services contract was entered into with Bellock Construction Company during 2002. This agreement was subsequently amended on March 25, 2004. Under this amended agreement, accounting services are provided to the District at the annual hourly rates of Bellock Construction Company employees. During 2012, the District incurred accounting services fees in the amount of \$-0-.

NOTE 6 - DISTRICT FACILITIES CONSTRUCTION AND SERVICE AGREEMENT (SERVICE CONTRACT)

In order to implement the Service Plan, District No. 1 approved an intergovernmental agreement with District No. 2 and District No. 3. The agreement shall remain in full force and effect until such time as each of the terms and conditions have been performed in their entirety or until the agreement is terminated by mutual written agreement by the Districts.

District No. 1 is to construct the facilities benefiting the three Districts and transfer them to Dacono. District No. 2 and District No. 3 will, to the extent that they are to benefit, pay the capital costs and the service costs of operation and maintenance of such facilities.

District No. 2 and District No. 3 are required to fund, on an annual basis, the amount of actual service costs that each District would be capable of funding through property tax revenue plus other fee revenue as determined in the annual budget. If the Districts disagree as to the amount to be paid, then District No. 2 and District No. 3 must pay District No. 1 the amount set forth in the annual budget as long as the property tax mill rate does not exceed 50 mills.

NOTE 7 - INTERGOVERNMENTAL REVENUE/EXPENDITURE

The following intergovernmental revenues and expenditures occurred during fiscal year end December 31, 2012:

Intergovernmental Revenue

	Sweetgrass Metropolitan District No. 1		n Metropolitan		•		Total Revenue	
Sweetgrass Metropolitan District No. 1 Sweetgrass Metropolitan District No. 2 Sweetgrass Metropolitan District No. 3	\$	- 13,132 771	\$	45,100 - -	\$	34,500	\$	79,600 13,132 771
	\$	13,903	\$	45,100	\$	34,500	\$	93,503
Intergovernmental Expenditure								
	Metr	etgrass opolitan rict No. 1	Met	eetgrass tropolitan trict No. 2	Met	•	Ex	Total penditure
Sweetgrass Metropolitan District No. 1 Sweetgrass Metropolitan District No. 2	\$	- 45,100	\$	13,134 -	\$	-	\$	13,134 45,100
Sweetgrass Metropolitan District No. 3	\$	37,000 82,100	\$	- 13,134	\$	<u>-</u>	\$	37,000 95,234

NOTE 8 - RISK MANAGEMENT

The District is exposed to various risks of loss related to torts, thefts of, damage to, or destruction of assets; errors or omissions; or acts of God.

The District is a member of the Colorado Special Districts Property and Liability Pool (Pool) as of December 31, 2012. The Pool is an organization created by intergovernmental agreement to provide property, liability, public officials liability, boiler and machinery and workers compensation coverage to its members. Settled claims have not exceeded insurance coverage in the past three fiscal years.

NOTE 8 - RISK MANAGEMENT (CONTINUED)

The District pays annual premiums to the Pool for liability and public officials liability. In the event aggregated losses incurred by the Pool exceed amounts recoverable from reinsurance contracts and funds accumulated by the Pool, the Pool may require additional contributions from the Pool members. Any excess funds which the Pool determines are not needed for purposes of the Pool, may be returned to the members pursuant to a distribution formula.

NOTE 9 - TAX, SPENDING AND DEBT LIMITATIONS

Article X, Section 20 of the Colorado Constitution, referred to as the Taxpayer's Bill of Rights (TABOR) contains tax, spending, revenue and debt limitations which apply to the State of Colorado and all local governments.

Spending and revenue limits are determined based on the prior year's Fiscal Year Spending adjusted for allowable increases based upon inflation and local growth. Fiscal Year Spending is generally defined as expenditures plus reserve increases with certain exceptions. Revenue in excess of the Fiscal Year Spending limit must be refunded unless the voters approve retention of such revenue.

TABOR requires local governments to establish Emergency Reserves. These reserves must be at least 3% of Fiscal Year Spending (excluding bonded debt service). Local governments are not allowed to use the emergency reserves to compensate for economic conditions, revenue shortfalls, or salary or benefit increases.

On November 5, 2005, a majority of the District's electors authorized the District to collect and spend or retain in a reserve all annual District revenue without regard to any limitations under TABOR. The ballot issues for each respective year are as follows:

2005 Ballot Issue A (De-Brucing Ad Valorem Tax Revenue)

Shall Sweetgrass Metropolitan District No. 2 taxes be increased up to \$2,000,000 annually (or such higher amount as is necessary to result in net revenue of up to \$2,000,000 annually), or by such lesser annual amount as may be necessary to pay the District's operations, maintenance, and other expenses; such taxes to consist of an ad valorem mill levy imposed without limitation of rate or with such limitations as may be determined by the Board, and in amounts sufficient to produce the annual increase set forth above or such lesser amounts as may be necessary, to be used for the purpose of paying the District's operations, maintenance and other expenses; and shall the proceeds of such taxes and investment income thereon constitute voter-approved revenue changes and be collected and spent by the District in 2005 and in each year thereafter without regard to any spending, revenue-raising, or other limitation contained within Article X, Section 20 of the Colorado Constitution, or Section 29-1-301, Colorado Revised Statues?

NOTE 9 - TAX, SPENDING AND DEBT LIMITATIONS (CONTINUED)

2005 Ballot Issue O

Shall Sweetgrass Metropolitan District No. 2 be authorized to collect, retain, and spend the amount of all taxes, tap fees, system development fees, rental income, service charges, inspection charges, administrative charges, grants, public improvement fees, or any other fee, rate, toll, penalty or charge authorized by law to be imposed or collected by the District and any other revenues, income or payments received by the District (including, without limitation, revenues received by the District from the state, any political subdivision of the state, or any other governmental entity) during fiscal year 2005 and each year thereafter for as long as the District continues in existence without limitation by the revenue and spending limits of Article X, Section 20 of the Colorado constitution or any other law, as they currently exist or as they may be amended in the future?

The District's management believes it is in compliance with the provisions of TABOR. However, TABOR is complex and subject to interpretation. Many of the provisions, including the interpretation of how to calculate Fiscal Year Spending limits and qualification as an enterprise, will require judicial interpretation.

REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

SWEETGRASS METROPOLITAN DISTRICT NO. 2 SCHEDULE OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE - BUDGET AND ACTUAL GENERAL FUND

Year Ended December 31, 2012

	Original Budgeted Amounts		udgeted Budgeted			Actual	Variance with Final Budget Positive (Negative)	
REVENUES								
Property taxes	\$	206,535	\$	206,535	\$	206,535	\$	-
Specific ownership taxes		14,457		14,457		15,361		904
Net investment income		1,000		1,000		398		(602)
Transfer from District No. 1		12,100		12,100		13,132		1,032
Total revenues		234,092		234,092	_	235,426		1,334
EXPENDITURES								
Current								
Transfer to District No. 1		45,100		45,100		45,100		-
County Treasurer's fees		3,098		3,100		3,092		8
Audit		9,400		9,400		9,400		-
Insurance		1,700		2,500		2,352		148
Trustee fees		-		1,300		1,300		-
Miscellaneous		1,000		3,600		80		3,520
Debt service								-
Interest and fiscal charges		165,000		165,000		164,996		4
Total expenditures		225,298		230,000		226,320		3,680
EXCESS (DEFICIENCY) OF REVENUES								
OVER (UNDER) EXPENDITURES		8,794		4,092		9,106		(5,014)
FUND BALANCE - BEGINNING								
OF YEAR		177,207		172,505		172,590		(85)
FUND BALANCE - END OF YEAR	\$	186,001	\$	176,597	\$	181,696	\$	(5,099)

SECONDARY MARKET INFORMATION

SWEETGRASS METROPOLITAN DISTRICT NO. 2 2012 ASSESSED VALUATION OF CLASSES OF PROPERTY IN THE DISTRICT December 31, 2012 UNAUDITED

Class	Total Assessed Valuation	Percent of Total Assessed Valuation	"Actual" Valuation	Percent of Total "Actual" Valuation
Residential	\$ 2,710,550	42.27%	\$ 34,051,249	82.20%
Commercial	55,850	0.87%	192,625	0.47%
Vacant	394,030	6.15%	1,355,893	3.27%
Other	20,560	0.32%	70,896	0.17%
Natural resources	3,231,010	<u>50.39%</u>	5,753,519	<u>13.89%</u>
Total	\$ 6,412,000	<u>100.00</u> %	\$ 41,424,182	<u>100.00</u> %

Source: Weld County Assessor's Office

SWEETGRASS METROPOLITAN DISTRICT NO. 2 TEN LARGEST TAXPAYERS IN THE DISTRICT December 31, 2012 UNAUDITED

		Percentage of Total
Taxpayer Name	Assessed Valuation	Assessed Valuation (1)
		. , ,
Kerr-McGee Oil & Gas Onshore LP	\$2,332,240	56.46%
Kerr-McGee Gathering LLC	820,750	19.87%
Dacono Properties LLC	393,530	9.53%
Noble Energy Inc	56,050	1.36%
Encana Oil & Gas (USA) Inc	40,070	0.97%
Private Homeowner	38,800	0.94%
Private Homeowner	23,230	0.56%
Private Homeowner	23,230	0.56%
Private Homeowner	22,820	0.55%
Private Homeowner	22,820	<u>0.55%</u>
	\$3,773,540	<u>91.35</u> %

(1) Based on a 2012 certified assessed valuation of \$6,412,000

Source: Weld County Assessor's Office

SWEETGRASS METROPOLITAN DISTRICT NO. 2 OVERLAPPING MILL LEVIES BY TAXING ENTITIES December 31, 2012 UNAUDITED

Taxing Entity	2012 Mill Levy
Aims Junior College	6.318
Carbon Valley Park and Recreation District	6.657
Dacono, City of	26.736
Mountain View Fire	11.747
Northern Colorado Water Conservancy District	1.000
St. Vrain Valley Sanitation District	0.682
Weld County School District RE-8	39.154
Subtotal	92.294
Sweetgrass Metro District No. 2	50.000
Total	142.294

Source: Weld County Assessor's Office

SWEETGRASS METROPOLITAN DISTRICT NO. 2 HISTORY OF DISTRICT'S MILL LEVY December 31, 2012 UNAUDITED

Levy/Collection Year	Mill Mill Mill		Total Mill Levy	Total Assessed Valuation
2007/2008	10.000	40.000	50.000	\$ 3,746,540
2008/2009	10.000	40.000	50.000	4,050,700
2009/2010	10.000	40.000	50.000	4,114,240
2010/2011	10.000	40.000	50.000	3,844,170
2011/2012	10.000	40.000	50.000	4,130,710
2012/2013	10.000	40.000	50.000	6,412,000

Sources: http://www.co.weld.co.us/Departments/Assessor/TaxingAuthorityInformation/index.html

SWEETGRASS METROPOLITAN DISTRICT NO. 2 SUMMARY OF ASSESSED VALUATION, MILL LEVY AND PROPERTY TAXES COLLECTED December 31, 2012

	_	rior Year sed Valuation					Percent
Year Ended	for C	Current Year	Mills	Total Pro	pert	y Taxes	Collected
December 31,	Prope	erty Tax Levy	Levied	Levied	C	ollected	to Levied
2008 2009 2010 2011 2012	\$ \$ \$ \$	3,746,540 4,050,700 4,114,240 3,844,170 4,130,710	50.000 50.000 50.000 50.000 50.000	\$ 187,327 \$ 202,535 \$ 205,712 \$ 192,205 \$ 206,536	5 \$ 2 \$ 9 \$	185,296 202,137 205,668 192,209 206,535	98.92% 99.80% 99.98% 100.00% 100.00%
Estimated for the year ending December 31, 2013	\$	6,412,000	50.000	\$ 320,600)		

SOURCE: Weld County Assessor's Office

NOTE:

Property taxes collected in any one year include collection of delinquent property taxes levied in prior years. Information received from the County Treasurer does not permit identification of specific year of levy.